

From: "Eron Dodak" <edodak@integral-corp.com>
To: "Novak, Madi" <Novak.Elisabeth@epa.gov>
"Michael PINTO" <michael.pinto@total.com>
Date: 6/18/2021 4:03:15 PM
Subject: RE: Data Submittals

Hi Madi,

I followed-up with our data team and we can accommodate this request. Thanks and have a nice weekend!

ERON DODAK

Tel: 503.943.3614 | Cell: (b) (6)

INTEGRAL CONSULTING INC.

From: Novak, Madi <Novak.Elisabeth@epa.gov>
Sent: Thursday, June 17, 2021 11:56 AM
To: Michael PINTO <michael.pinto@total.com>; Eron Dodak <edodak@integral-corp.com>
Subject: Data Submittals

Hi Mike and Eron,

I hope all is well. I am reaching out about EPA's quality assurance review (QA) review of PDI data collected at Portland Harbor. As part of the Portland Harbor Interim Database (PHIDB) process, EPA is responsible for reviewing the submitted data for quality and completeness, as discussed in the 2020 Portland Harbor Data Management Plan (DMP) and shown on DMP Figure 2. Part of this review involves evaluating the field quality control (QC) samples collected as part of the PDI. The PHIDB has been developed to store environmental sample data associated with locations, and therefore includes submittal of field duplicate samples, which are a type of field QC sample. However, the PHIDB was not developed for or intended to store result values from blank samples collected as part of a PDI's field QC program.

EPA is requesting that when you submit your PDI data to the PHIDB, that you separately submit to EPA an electronic data deliverable (EDD) that contains the analytical data for any blank samples collected in the field as part of the PDI field QC program. Applicable blank samples to be submitted to EPA in an EDD are those that are each assigned a unique ID and submitted to the laboratory for analysis. Typical blank samples include rinsate blanks (i.e., equipment blanks), field blanks, and trip blanks. The types and frequencies of blank samples collected were determined by your RD project area's QAPP. The EDD does not need to be in any particular format so long as it contains all applicable information such that the blank sample result in the EDD could be confirmed in the applicable laboratory data package and data validation report to facilitate EPA's QA review. EPA does not plan any uses for these data beyond our QA review. These data will not be posted to the Portland Harbor Environmental Data Portal and are not required to be submitted to the PHIDB.

Would you be able to accommodate this additional data request to facilitate EPA's QA review of PDI data per the 2020 Portland Harbor DMP? We can accomplish this review with the laboratory data packages and data validation reports that would be submitted to EPA as part of a PDI report, but having the blank sample results in an EDD will streamline the review and make it more efficient. I am happy to discuss in more detail.

Thank you,

Madi Novak, Remedial Project Manager
EPA Region 10, Superfund & Emergency Management Division
Oregon Operations Office
503-326-3277 (O)
(b) (6) (C)
She/Her/Hers

Everything should be made as simple as possible, but no simpler. – Einstein